

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The structures and magnetic properties of small Fe<sub>n</sub>B clusters

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 1805 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/8/11/023)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.208 The article was downloaded on 13/05/2010 at 16:24

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# The structures and magnetic properties of small $Fe_nB$ clusters

Q Sun<sup>†</sup><sup>‡</sup>, X G Gong<sup>§</sup><sup>†</sup>, Q Q Zheng<sup>†</sup> and G H Wang<sup>‡</sup>

† Institute of Solid State Physics, Academia Sinica, Hefei, 230031, People's Republic of China
 ‡ Department of Physics and National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, People's Republic of China

§ Centre for Theoretical Physics, Chinese Centre of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory), PO Box 8730, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Received 28 June 1995, in final form 17 October 1995

**Abstract.** The geometric structures and magnetic properties of small  $Fe_nB$  clusters have been studied by using a linear combination of atomic orbitals approach with the density functional formalism, and the Kohn–Sham equation is solved self-consistently by the discrete variational method. It is found that it is favourable for the B atom to locate at the surface, not at the centre of the cluster, and that the tetrahedron for  $Fe_4B$  and the triangular prism for  $Fe_6B$  clusters are not the most stable structures. When one atom in an  $Fe_{n+1}$  cluster is replaced by a B atom, forming an  $Fe_nB$  cluster, the binding energy increases, while the moment of the Fe atom decreases. It is indicated that the environment and doping play important roles as regards the stability and magnetic properties of clusters.

# 1. Introduction

Atomic clusters constitute an intermediate phase, between the atomic and bulk phases, which shows anomalous physical and chemical properties in comparison with the behaviour of the corresponding bulk solids and free atoms. In recent years, more and more attention has been paid to the study of the clusters. Part of the motivation stems from the desire to understand how physical properties and structures evolve from atom to molecule to cluster to ultrafine particle, and in the end to the bulk phase. Further motivation is associated with the desire to use solid devices of smaller and smaller structures in technological applications. Early investigations on clusters were largely confined to single-component clusters; recently, in order to increase the number of variables for the purposes of material design and control, clusters composed of two or more elements have been attracting increasing attention. Many studies indicate that structures and properties of clusters will be changed greatly by doping—for example, the stability of the  $Al_{13}$  cluster can be substantially enhanced by doping with C, B<sup>-</sup> [1] and transition-metal atoms [2]. Therefore many kinds of complex materials can be synthesized via atomic engineering.

Transition-metal clusters are of particular interest, because their significant catalytic and magnetic properties have led to useful technological applications, such as in high-density magnetic devices. In recent years,  $Fe_n$  clusters have been studied extensively and many exotic properties have been found [3–7]. We know that B can greatly improve the features of bulk Fe, and Fe–B-based crystalline and amorphous alloys have many useful properties (such as ferromagnetism, and creep and wear resistance), so they have been widely used in

0953-8984/96/111805+06\$19.50 (C) 1996 IOP Publishing Ltd

1806 *Q* Sun et al

modern aeronautical and astronautic technology and in many other industrial applications. How does the impurity B affect the structures and magnetic properties of the Fe<sub>n</sub> clusters? No study on this problem has been reported to our knowledge. In this paper, we shall deal with this subject. In fact, the tetrahedron for Fe<sub>4</sub>B and triangular prism for Fe<sub>6</sub>B have been taken to be structural units in studies on amorphous Fe–B alloys [8, 9], but are these two structures stable as isolated clusters? Furthermore, Fiorani *et al* [10], and Linderoth *et al* [11] and Rivas *et al* [12] have successfully prepared ultrafine amorphous Fe–B particles, with which a new type of nanostructured material can be synthesized. Although the ultrafine particle is much larger in size than a cluster, investigations on clusters can shed some light on the properties of ultrafine particles. In this paper, we use spin-density functional theory together with the local density approximation to study the structure and magnetic properties of Fe<sub>n</sub>B clusters.

# 2. The theoretical method

In density functional theory, the Hamiltonian for electrons has the following form in atomic units:

$$H = \sum_{i} -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} + \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}') \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + V_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{ext}.$$
(1)

We have used the von Barth–Hedin type of exchange–correlation potential  $V_{xc}(r)$  [13].  $V_{ext}$  is an external potential including the Coulomb potential generated by ions. The numerical atomic wavefunctions are used as the basis set for the expansion of wavefunctions. Group theory is employed to symmetrize the basis functions:

$$\Phi_j = \sum_i D_{ij}\phi_i \tag{2}$$

where  $\phi_i$  is an atomic wavefunction,  $\Phi_j$  is a symmetrized basis function, and  $D_{ij}$  is the so-called symmetry coefficient which is determined only by the symmetry of cluster. In this work we have used 3d, 4s and 4p orbitals of the Fe atom, and 2s and 2p orbitals of the B atom as the basis set  $\phi_i$ . The wavefunctions of the electrons in the clusters are expanded in the symmetrized basis functions  $\Phi_i$ ,

$$\Psi_i = \sum_j C_{ij} \Phi_j. \tag{3}$$

Then a matrix equation can be obtained:

$$(\mathbf{H} - \varepsilon \mathbf{S})\mathbf{C} = 0 \tag{4}$$

where **H** is the Hamiltonian matrix and **S** is the overlap matrix. The discrete variational method (DVM) [14] has been used to self-consistently solve the matrix equation. To get the electronic density of states (DOS) from the discrete energy levels  $\varepsilon_i$ , the Lorentz expansion scheme is used; the total DOS is defined as

$$D(E) = \sum_{n,l,\sigma} D_{nl}^{\sigma}(E)$$
(5)

with

$$D_{nl}^{\sigma}(E) = \sum_{i} A_{nl,i}^{\sigma} \frac{\delta/\pi}{(E - \varepsilon_i)^2 + \delta^2}$$
(6)

where  $\sigma$  is the spin index, *i* labels the eigenfunction and a broadening factor  $\delta = 0.42$  eV is used.  $A_{nl,i}^{\sigma}$  is the Mulliken population number, and *n* and *l* are orbital and angular quantum numbers respectively. The total energy is evaluated from

$$E_{tot} = \sum_{i} f_{i} \varepsilon_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \int \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}') \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \int \rho(\mathbf{r})(\mu_{xc} - V_{xc}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|}$$
(7)

where  $f_i$  is the occupation number,  $Z_I$  is the proton number of atom I,  $\rho(r)$  is the charge density, and  $\mu_{xc}$  is a universal potential related to  $V_{xc}$  by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho}(\rho\mu_{xc}) = V_{xc}.\tag{8}$$

The binding energy  $E_b$  is determined from

$$E_b = E_{ref} - E_{tot} \tag{9}$$

where  $E_{tot}$  is the total energy of the cluster, and  $E_{ref}$  is the sum of the total energies of all of the isolated free atoms in the cluster. The average binding energy is defined as the ratio between the total binding energy and the total number of atoms.

**Table 1.** The equilibrium bond lengths (Å) and average binding energies  $\varepsilon_b$  (eV) for Fe<sub>n</sub>B clusters.  $h_{Fe}$  and  $h_B$  are the distances from the Fe or B atom at the vertex to the bottom, and z is the prism length for a triangular prism.

Cluster	Structure	Bond length	$\varepsilon_b$	Position for B
FeB	Linear	1.78	2.15	
Fe <sub>2</sub> B	Linear	1.80	2.46	Centre
	Triangular	$R_{Fe-Fe} = 2.30, R_{Fe-B} = 1.77$	2.57	Vertex
Fe <sub>3</sub> B	Triangular	3.10	2.42	Centre
	Tetrahedral	2.24, $h_B = 1.74$	2.85	Vertex
Fe <sub>4</sub> B	Square	2.50	2.88	Centre
	Square pyramidal	2.23, $h_B = 1.92$	3.22	Vertex
	Tetrahedral	3.0	2.60	Centre
	Triangular bipyramidal	2.5, $h_{Fe} = 1.75$ , $h_B = 1.501$	3.37	Vertex
Fe5B	Pentagonal	2.24	3.24	Centre
	Pentagonal pyramidal	2.13, $h_B = 1.01$	3.63	Vertex
	Square pyramidal	2.70	2.98	Centre
	Triangular bipyramidal	2.80	2.55	Centre
	Octahedral	2.31, $h_{Fe} = 2.19$ , $h_B = 1.53$	3.48	Vertex
Fe <sub>6</sub> B	Hexagonal	2.25	3.320	Centre
	Hexagonal pyramidal	2.21, $h_B = 0.35$	3.09	Vertex
	Pentagonal pyramidal	2.23, $h_{Fe} = 1.41$	2.83	Centre
	Pentagonal bipyramidal	2.30, $h_{Fe} = 1.21$ , $h_B = 1.15$	3.81	Vertex
	Octahedral	2.30	2.44	Centre
	Triangular prismatic	2.35, $z = 3.17$	3.36	Centre

#### 3. Results and discussions

For all the structures considered, the atomic distances are optimized by maximizing the binding energy within the symmetry constraints. Mulliken population analysis has been

# 1808 *Q* Sun et al

used to obtain the occupation numbers of the atomic orbitals; the magnetic moment is the difference between the occupation numbers in spin-up and spin-down states. The structure data obtained are listed in table 1. In the case of the cluster Fe<sub>2</sub>B, we have calculated the linear and triangular geometric structures; the binding energy of the triangular structure is about 0.11 eV higher than that of the linear structure. For the Fe<sub>3</sub>B cluster, we have studied the triangular and tetrahedral structures, and found that the tetrahedron has larger binding energy, which indicates that a transition from a two-dimensional structure to a three-dimensional one takes place. Among the possible structures—square, square pyramid, tetrahedron and triangular bipyramid—for Fe<sub>4</sub>B, we find that the triangular bipyramid has the largest binding energy, and the binding energy of the tetrahedron with B at the centre is smaller. This suggests that the tetrahedral structure is not stable for the isolated  $Fe_4B$  cluster. For the Fe<sub>5</sub>B cluster, the pentagonal bipyramid is the most stable structure, and octahedron comes next. For the Fe<sub>6</sub>B cluster, the most stable structure is the pentagonal bipyramid rather than the triangular prism; this also indicates that the triangular prism structure is not stable for the isolated  $Fe_6B$  cluster. Therefore, from table 1, we can see that the tetrahedron for  $Fe_4B$  and triangular prism for  $Fe_6B$  are not the most stable structures; the situation is quite different to that of bulk amorphous Fe-B alloys, where these two clusters are adopted as stable structure units. These results indicate that the environment plays an important role as regards the stability of clusters. Meanwhile it is interesting to note that it is favourable for the B atom to locate at the surface, not at the centre of the cluster.

**Table 2.** The comparison as regards stable structures, average binding energies  $\varepsilon_b$  (eV) and moment  $\mu$  ( $\mu_B$ ) between the Fe<sub>n</sub>B cluster and the Fe<sub>n+1</sub> cluster.

	Cluster	Structure	$\varepsilon_b$	$\mu$
n = 1	FeB	Linear	2.15	3.866
	Fe <sub>2</sub>	Linear	1.65	4.000
n = 2	Fe <sub>2</sub> B	Triangular	2.57	3.775
	Fe <sub>3</sub>	Triangular	2.03	3.980
<i>n</i> = 3	Fe <sub>3</sub> B	Tetrahedral	2.85	2.997
	Fe <sub>4</sub>	Tetrahedral	2.67	3.010
n = 4	Fe <sub>4</sub> B	Triangular bipyramidal	3.37	3.388
	Fe <sub>5</sub>	Triangular bipyramidal	3.20	3.450
<i>n</i> = 5	Fe <sub>5</sub> B	Pentagonal pyramidal	3.63	2.384
	Fe <sub>6</sub>	Octahedral	3.59	3.300
<i>n</i> = 6	Fe <sub>6</sub> B	Pentagonal bipyramidal	3.81	2.844
	Fe <sub>7</sub>	Pentagonal bipyramidal	3.75	2.850

One of the authors has studied the structures and properties of small Fe<sub>n</sub> clusters ( $n \le 7$ ) in detail [5]. In order to demonstrate clearly the effects of the impurity B atoms on the structures and magnetic moments, a comparison between Fe<sub>n</sub>B and Fe<sub>n+1</sub> clusters has been made and shown is in table 2, where the data for Fe<sub>n+1</sub> clusters are from [5]. We can see that in Fe<sub>n</sub>B clusters the average binding energy is an increasing function of *n*, and when one atom in an Fe<sub>n+1</sub> cluster is replaced by one B atom, forming an Fe<sub>n</sub>B cluster, the binding energy increases, while the moment of the Fe atom decreases. Except for in the Fe<sub>5</sub>B cluster, the structure of the ground state remains unchanged when one Fe atom is replaced by a B atom, indicating that the B atom simply substitutes for one Fe atom when forming the Fe<sub>n</sub>B cluster. However, the stable structure for Fe<sub>5</sub>B is a pentagonal pyramid, not an octahedron as in the Fe<sub>6</sub>, which suggests that the structure of an Fe<sub>n</sub> cluster can be

Cluster	3d	4s	4p	$\mu_{Fe}$	2s	2p	$\mu_B$
FeB	6.4468 (2.9949)	0.8480 (0.6862)	0.2475 (0.1851)	(3.8662)	1.8294 (-0.009)	1.6363 (-0.4567)	(-0.4657)
Fe <sub>2</sub> B	6.3965 (3.2205)	0.9930 (0.3647)	0.3096 (0.1901)	(3.7753)	1.6257 (0.060)	1.9761 (-0.6167)	(-0.5506)
Fe <sub>3</sub> B	6.5961 (2.7842)	0.9238 (0.0831)	0.3092 (0.1302)	(2.9974)	1.6433 (0.0056)	1.8691 (-0.6035)	(-0.5979)
Fe <sub>4</sub> B	6.4571 (3.1718)	0.9569 (0.1624)	0.4476 (0.053)	(3.3878)	1.5526 (-0.0707)	2.0008 (-0.5442)	(-0.6143)
Fe <sub>5</sub> B	6.5301 (2.1897)	0.7962 (0.0331)	0.4944 (0.1604)	(2.384)	1.4621 (-0.0093)	2.4313 (-0.3549)	(-0.3642)
Fe <sub>6</sub> B	6.5089 (2.767)	0.7127 (0.01)	0.5550 (0.0674)	(2.844)	1.2068 (-0.1347)	2.9805 (-0.4508)	(-0.5905)

**Table 3.** The occupation numbers and moments of atomic orbitals for the stable structures of  $Fe_n B$  clusters. The numbers in brackets are moments (in  $\mu_B$ ); the orbitals are 3d, 4s and 4p for the Fe atom, and 2s and 2p for the B atom.

changed by doping with B.

The occupation numbers and moments of the atomic orbitals of the stable structures are shown in table 3. Comparing with the electronic configurations for the isolated Fe and B atoms, we find that the B atom is an electron acceptor with charges transferred from Fe atoms; this is because the electronegativity of B is larger than that of the Fe atom, and the charge transfers are in the region of 0.138–0.45 electrons per Fe atom and 0.45–1.10 electrons per B atom. In the Fe<sub>*n*+1</sub> cluster, 4s electrons are transferred to 3d and 4p orbitals due to the sp–d hybridization [5]. In the Fe<sub>*n*</sub>B cluster, 4s electrons of Fe are transferred not only to its own 3d and 4p orbitals but also to the B 2p orbital. Additionally, the B atom displays small negative moments, and the orbitals of Fe in the spin-down (minority) band, leading to a slightly increased occupation of the B spin-down states. Such an induced ferromagnetism also exists in many glassy and crystalline transition-metal and transition-metal–metalloid alloys [15–17].

Recently, Hyeong-Chai Jeong and Steinhardt proposed a cluster approach for quasicrystals [18]; they believed that quasicrystal ordering is attributable to a small set of low-energy atomic clusters which determine the state of minimum free energy, and the structure of a solid can be determined from the lowest-energy atomic clusters. However, the situation is quite different in amorphous materials. As we have found, the tetrahedron for Fe<sub>4</sub>B and the triangular prism for Fe<sub>6</sub>B, which are presumed to be the structural units in Fe–B amorphous alloys, are not the most stable structures for isolated clusters. We can see that the behaviour of isolated clusters is quite different from that of the clusters in the bulk phase; the environment plays an important role as regards the stability and magnetic properties of clusters. In order to obtain the real properties of amorphous substances via a cluster approach, the interactions between the cluster and its environment must be taken into account. In the previous cluster model calculations for the amorphous Fe–B alloys [8], a single cluster is was used to simulate the bulk phase; from the above discussions, we can see that an improvement should be made by considering the interactions with the environment, and this study is still in progress.

# Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the 'Climbing Programme Research' National Fundamental Project.

#### References

- [1] Gong X G and Kumar V 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 2087
- [2] Gong X G and Kumar V 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 17701
- [3] de Heer W A, Milani P and Chatelain A 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 488
- [4] Dorantes-Davila J, Dreysse H and Pastor G M 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 10432
- [5] Gong X G and Zheng Q Q 1995 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7 2421
- [6] Chen J L, Wang C S, Jackson K A and Pederson M R 1991 Phys. Rev. B 44 6523
- [7] Christensen O B and Cohen M L 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 13 643
- [8] Messmer R P 1981 Phys. Rev. B 23 1616
- [9] Gaskell P H 1979 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 32 207
- [10] Fiorani D, Malizia F, Ronconi F and Testa A M 1994 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 133 295
- [11] Linderoth S 1992 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 104-107 128
- [12] Rivas J, López Quintela M A and Bonome M G 1993 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 122 1
- [13] von Barth U and Hedin L 1972 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5 1629
- [14] Ellis D E and Painter G S 1970 Phys. Rev. B 2 2887
- [15] Turek I, Becker C and Hafner J 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 7257
- [16] Hafner J, Hausleitner C, Jank W and Turek I 1992 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 150 307
- [17] Mohn P and Schwarz K 1991 Physica B 130 10 820
- [18] Jeong Hyeong-Chai and Steinhardt P J 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 1943